

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,611	03/24/2004	Dennis West	NUTO:001	3658
Ionathan Snanc	7590 10/04/2007	EXAMINER		
Jonathan Spangler 2556 VIA TORINA			LEUNG, PHILIP H	
DEL MAR, CA 92014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Augliostion No	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Comments	10/807,611	WEST, DENNIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip H. Leung	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>uly 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under it	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	i, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-30</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>		ail Date nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/807,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 28-30 are objected to as the term "The system" at line 1 of each claim should read "The method" instead. Correction is required.

- 2. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation "unaltered by processing" at line 1 of claim 16 and in claims 19, 20 and 25-28 is considered as new matter NOT supported by the original disclosure. It is only disclosed that the potatoes are fresh and cleaned, nothing indicated that the potatoes are "altered" or "unaltered" by processing. Regarding the applicant's remark that "unaltered potatoes are *only* washed prior to being packaged as set forth on page 7, lines 10-13" is not entirely accurate. As stated at the recited passage, it is only disclosed "the fresh potatoes are washed one or more times prior to being packaged". That is, it does not exclude other processing steps as it does not contain the word "only" as argued. Cancellation of the new matter is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,611

Art Unit: 3742

4. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Luraschi (FR 2 814 445) (previously cited), in view of the Admitted Prior Art as shown on page 2, lines 12-21 (hereinafter, APA).

Luraschi shows a system and method for providing fresh potatoes for consumption, comprising: a container (1) of suitable construction to be placed in and withstand a cooking environment capable of cooking fresh potatoes; and one or more fresh potatoes (3) placed within said container (see Figures 1-4, the title and the English abstract). Although it use a "chemical process" to prevent the fresh potatoes from germinating, it is obvious that the extra step can be skipped if not desired as microwave cooking of fresh whole potatoes washed and "unaltered by processing" in a container is a common practice as admitted by the applicant (see page 2 of the specification). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Luraschi to pack the fresh potatoes without other processing steps to lower processing cost, in view of the teaching of APA. In regard to 17, the container of Luraschi is constructed from microwaveable plastic and includes a tray (1) and a cover (film layer 2)

5. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Luraschi (FR 2 814 445), in view of the Admitted Prior Art as shown on page 2, lines 12-21 (APA), as applied to claims 16 and 17 above, and further in view of Fritz (US 5,607,709) (previously cited).

Luraschi combined with APA shows every feature as except for the explicit showing of inclusion of cooking instructions with the system. However, it is routine in the art of food packages to include cooking instructions with the package to help the consumer to heat the food.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,611

Art Unit: 3742

Anyway, Fritz shows a microwave cooking container with a lid and a portion 32 containing cooking instructions (see Figures 1-4 and col. 3, lines 13-16). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Luraschi combined with APA to provide cooking instructions to assist the consumer with the cooking process, such as the length of cooking times as set forth in the abstract, so that the consumer can obtain optimal cooking result, in view of the teaching of Fritz.

6. Claims 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Luraschi (FR 2 814 445), in view of the Admitted Prior Art as shown on page 2, lines 12-21 (APA), as applied to claims 16 and 17 above, and further in view of Pickard et al (US 5,220,909) (previously cited).

Luraschi shows every feature as except for the inclusion of cooking ingredients and eating utensils with the system. Pickard shows a food heating meal system with a package containing food portions, food condiment package 43 and eating utensils 45 (see Figure 1 and col. 4, lines 34-50). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Luraschi combined with APA to include a potato composition and eating utensils in a same package so that the consumer can bring the package along and consume it as a meal for better tasty potatoes and conveniences, in view of the teaching of Pickard. In regard to claim 26, the number of cleaning times would be a matter of engineering tradeoff between cost and cleanliness. In regard to claim 21, the use of butter, margarine or dressing for serving with potatoes is well known.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,611 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Applicant's arguments filed 7-16-2007 have been fully considered but they are not 7. persuasive. It is submitted that the new limitation "unaltered by processing" is new matter not supported by the original disclosure. Although the word "fresh" may be interpreted as "unaltered by processing", however, the same must be defined as such in the original disclosure. Therefore, it must be given in its broadest reasonable interpretation, that is, "not stale, not spoiled, not cooked and not decayed" as defined in the Webster's New Collegiate Dictionary. The claimed invention is unpatentable over Luraschi as the potatoes in Luraschi are "fresh" as shown in the original disclosure. Although the potatoes have been chemically treated, they are still "fresh". Moreover, the apparent purpose of the chemical treatment in Luraschi is to prevent the potatoes from becoming rotten or stale, that is, to keep them in a fresh state for a longer period. Most importantly, microwave cooking of fresh whole potatoes "unaltered by processing" in a container is a common practice in an average household having a microwave oven as admitted by the applicant (see page 2 of the specification). Furthermore, the gist of the invention is to prepackage fresh potatoes for microwave cooking by consumers located remotely from the packaging facility and this is clearly shown by Luraschi. Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan just wash the fresh potatoes without other processing steps to lower processing cost in view of the combined teaching of Luraschi and the admitted prior art.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-4782.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 472-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 9-18-2007



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.	1121110 57112	PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	
10007611	2/24/2004	WEGE DENDUG	NUTTO 001

10807611

3/24/2004 -

WEST, DENNIS

NUTO:001

Jonathan Spangler 2556 VIA TORINA DEL MAR, CA 92014 EXAMINER

Philip H. Leung

ART UNIT PAPER

3742

20071001

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Please disregrad the Non-compliance paper dated sseptember 25, 2007

Tu Hoang SPE AU3742